(b) if so when and the major findings thereon;

(c) what is the estimated investment required in this connection;

(d) during this financial year, how much funds were allotted for this national highway;

(e) out of which, how much has since been spent;

(f) during this year, how many major works were completed and details thereof in each work;

(g) as on date, how many major works are pending for completion and details of each work and by when each work is expected to be completed; and

(h) how many major works are expected to be started this financial year and details of each work, including its cost, location and time required for completion?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) to (c) Estimates for survey and investigations for identification of deficiencies and requirement of realignment of Cochin—Madurai National Highway have already been sanctioned and the work is in progress. The investment required will be assessed only after the completion of the survey and investigation.

(d) to (h) Pending completion of investigation work, except for some minor works, no major work has been sanctioned in this Section of NH.

Allocation of funds for MNP

245. SHRI BHADRESWAR GOHAIN: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the norms and criteria for allocating one lakh rupees per kilometer for new MNP (Minimum Needs Programme) roads by Government;

(b) whether this scale is feasible for the whole country specially in the flood prone and bji|, regions of the North East.

(c) if mil. whether Government wili consider for changing the scale after consulting with the relevant departments find authorities; and

• (d) if so, the details thereof.'

THE MINISTER OF STATE Ol MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER); (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be placed on the table of the House.

Payment of arrears to Indian Oil Corporation by DTC

246. SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state-;

(a) whether Delhi Transport Co[1] lion has to pay Rs. 22 crores as arears to the Indian Oil Corporation for diese'i and petrol supplies taken by the former;

Co) if so, give details thereof, and period;, of such arrears;

(c) whether reduction in fleet of DTC buse$_s$ i^n Delhi has affected its regul come being made from pass-holders;

(d) whether Government have some statistics to show the income made by DTC regularly from the pass-holders before and after reduction in its fleet.

(e) whether Government propose -to restore status-quo of DTC fleet to check further arrears on account of diesel; and

(f) if so, the details thereof and if not, reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): ' i) Yes, Sir.

(b) The detail of outstanding payments and period thereof are given below:—

S.No.	Name of Oil Company	Amount (Rs. in crores)	Period
1.	I.O.C.	19.62	April 93 to May' 94.
2.	H.P.C. Ltd.	1.47	February'93 to May' 94.
3.	B.P.C. Ltd.	0.91	February '93 to September' '93.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The average income realised from pass-holders during the year 1992-93, 1993-94 and 1994-95 (upto October, 1994) is given below:—

Year	Average fleet	Total income from pass holders (Rs. in lakh)
1992-93	3253	3103.58
1993-94	3113	1317.46
1994-95 (upto Oct., 94)	2819	551.22

(e) and (f) Due to paucity of funds, the DTC is not in a position to augment its fleet at present.

Strike notice given by Port Employees Federations

247. SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Port Employees Federations have given a strike notice;

(b) if so, the reasons thereof;

(c) whether it is a fact that Government have refused to accept the MOU signed by the employees representatives and the Government earlier; and

(d) if so, the reasons thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) Various Unions affiliated to Federations of Port and Dock Workers gave strike notices to go on strike any day after 26th October, 1994, which was subsequently postponed to 23rd November, 1994. It was, however, withdrawn.

(b) Various Federations of Port and Dock Workers, to which these Unions are affiliated, wanted pension issues to be resolved simultaneously alongwith the Wage Settlement.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Question does not arise.